



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/878,803	06/11/2001	Min Ho Jung	30205/37328	3762
4743 75	90 01/23/2004		EXAMINER	
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			THORNTON, YVETTE C	
6300 SEARS TO 233 S. WACKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	· · · —		1752	
			DATE MAII ED: 01/22/2007	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			G
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/878,803	JUNG ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yvette C. Thornton	1752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2003 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this : (1) a timely filed amendmer peal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper repart which places the applic	oly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b	·)]	
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the notes of th</li></ul>	his Advisory Action, or (2) the date bire later than SIX MONTHS from th WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH The date on which the petition unde	re mailing date of the final rejects S OF THE FINAL REJECTION or 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the app	tion.  See MPEP  propriate extension
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the peri fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See:	e of the shortened statutory period f Office later than three months after	or reply originally set in the fina	I Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 G			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or se	arch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	te below);		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected clain	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	jection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed	l amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		n considered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed SO	LELY to issues which wer	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 6 and 7.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3 and 6-12.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	pproved or b)☐ disapprove	ed by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).	
10. Other:	-/	Guette C.	Ilm
		// Yvette Clarke Tho Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1752	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment changes the scope of the independent claims and would therefore require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the after final amendment has not been entered and therefore, has no bearing on patentability The examiner notes that the proposed amendment would have been sufficient to overcome the objection of claims 6-7 set forth in the previous office action. The rejection of record is maintained.

The examiner can be reached via telephone at 571-272-1336 from Monday to Thursday from 8-6:30 pm